pr for tal	the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your ovided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It were improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encour see this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for your praisal.	vill also be aged to re:	used by	y the in loughtf	structor ully, to	
ins	our instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final particular to see your hand-written form, check this box and your responses will be type structor.					
Co	ourse# PG381 Semester Spring Year 2013 Instructor's Name	e Don Sha	ire			_ ,
Ма	ajor Minor (if applicable)					
Sta	atus: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate S	tudent				
1.	Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagre			Agree	•
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3		5 🗸
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1 🗀	2	3	4 🗸	5 🔲
c.		1	2	3	4	5 🗸
d.		1 🔲	2	3	4 🗸	5
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	l l	2	3	4 🗸	النا 5
f.		1 🔲	2 🔲	3	4 🗸	5 🔲
Ple	ease explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you	r ratings.	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			
Soi	me incredibly long readings were frankly unnecessary.				•	
2.	Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations					Ц
		Disagree	; 		Agree	
- а.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 🔲	5 🗸
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 🔲	2 🔲	3□	4 🔲	5 🗸
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 🔲	2 🔲	3	4 🔲	5 🗸
Ple	ease explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you	r ratings.				
	ti di di di serie da komining kompaning kanan adik degayap diki di diak kesak wang Kanan kanan	ie iedę (j. 1908). Podalej iedziej Podalej iedziej iedzie				
3.	Instructor's Interaction With Students	Disagi			Agree	
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2 🔲	3	4 🔲	5 🔽
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2 🗀	3 🔲	4 🔲	5 🕢
c.		. —	~ F			
	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	I L.J	2 📙	3 🔲	4 📙	5 🗸

	mistractor 5 Evaluation of Students Learning	Disagree	Agree	in Agent
a.	the state of the s	1 🔲 2 🔲	3 🗸 4 🔲	5
b.	property distribution for the control of the contro	1 🔲 2 🔲	3 🗸 4 🗌	5
c.	coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 🗆 2 🗆	3 ✓ 4 🗆	5 🗀
le	ase explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your r	ratings		
	t prep was frankly stupid. Some exam questions were questionable as well.	ratings.		
	a property of the second second questions were questionable as work.			
	ကြောင်းများသည်။ မြောင်းများသည်။ မြောင်းများသည်။ မြောင်းများသည်။ မြောင်းများသည်။ မြောင်းများသည်။ မြောင်းများသည် မြောင်းများသည်။ မြောင်းများသည်။ မြောင်းများသည်။ မြောင်းများသည်။ မြောင်းများသည်။ မြောင်းများသည်။ မြောင်းများသည်			Nation of
i.	Outside Leading and Course Freeholders		ada ar indexis or ju	
e i	Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation	Poor	Excellent	
1.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor.	. 1 🔲 2 🔲	3 4 🗸	5
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	1 🔲 2 🔲	3 🔲 4 🔽	5 🗖
-			The state of the s	
2 s		e way with a soften walkers (1973)	and the second	1 2 2 2 2 2
	unter de la companya de la companya La companya de la co			
	ara kanan da kanan d Kanan da kanan da ka			1 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		and the control of the second	edi omako Madenjiji iskoro Toda	14, U., 19
	h Diagon marrido em Godhach and hand a trada a			
	b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the preparing to teach this course again.	instructor to K	now in	
ea	ding quizzes had	nd whel	อไกรสมุทัพ ร อง	
¥ .	1997 filologica de la companya de l Portografia de la companya de la co		ing and a specific consistency was a specific consistency of the specific consistency of the construction of the specific consistency of the consi	
	The first that the first of the first the firs		malapitan kapiti 1977	
	The second of		Walter Park Sand	
		and the second second		

17.6

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\Bar\) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

P6 Course#	381	_ Semester Shah	Year 13 Instruc	otor's Name Dan Share
Major	PSG_	1-	Minor (if applicable)	
Status:	☐ First year		☐ JuniorSenior	☐ Graduate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

	en e	Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 2 3	4 🕏
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1 2 3	4 (3)
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1 2 3	4 🕄
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1 2 3	4 ③
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful		\sim
	learning tools.	1 2 3	4 矣
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2 3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Great all around.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagree	1	Agree
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1 2	3	4 🔇
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 2	3	4 🔇
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2	3	4 3

no o la colonia de la colonia de el completa de la colonia de la colonia

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2 3	4 /5
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2 3	4 \ 5 \
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2 3	4 5
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2 3	4 \ 5 /

		Disagree	Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's			1
	contents and objectives.	1 2	3 4 (3)	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other		\sim	1 - 1
	coursework.	1 2	3 4 5	
Ç.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2	3 4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	Excellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructo	r. 1	2 3 4 🕏
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.		2 3 4 (5)
	<u></u>	Lagar Table (sp. 1)	s see him a salah da hii a salah da ka

Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

Don is a wonderful professor and very committed to the students progrew and learning.

Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

to the large term of the second armerity of the man 🙏 para day 18 dahay in mah pikhahayin nya a talihan bela

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\Precent \) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	P6381	Semester Spr	ing Year 2	o 13 Instructor	's Name SHARE	
Major	P.6-(OMP	Minor (if	applicable)		
Status:	☐ First year		Munior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

	on Mariette of	Disagre	e		ا جو را دار	Agree	
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4	3	
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4	(5)	
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4	3	
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4	$\binom{2}{5}$	
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	as 1	2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>	
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1.3	2	3	4	<u>(3)</u>	ŭ,

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagree	Agree
	a. Overall, the course was well organized.	1 2 3	4 (5)
	b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 2 3	4 (3)
1	c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2 3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

There is nothing I love more than a well organized Professor. I love & structure!

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

	Disagree	Agree
 a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. 	1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3	4 (5) 4 (5) 5 (5)

		Disagree	Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1 2 3	4 (5)	141
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other			
	coursework.	1 2 (3)4 5	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2 3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Please, no more of these class generated study guides. They are the worst.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	Excellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor	r. 1 2	3 4 5
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	1 2	3 4 5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

Don's the best. I tone that he's so organized and on rop of his game. He's always helpful when it comes to answering questions and his so knowledged on the subject.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I feel like we could have spent less time on cuba and looked at a bigger variety of countries in the region.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	PG381	Semester For	29 Year	2013 Instruc	etor's Name Share
		<u>/t</u>			
Status:	☐ First year		Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

	and the second s	Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 23	4 (
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1 2 3	4 🔇
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1 , 2 , 3	
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1 2 3	4 (5)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful		
	learning tools.	1 2 3	4 (5)
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2 3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Class assignments were effective and enjoyable learning tools

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		Agree	
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.				4 🔇	
Ъ.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.				4 🔇	
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

It was always made clear what was expected of us

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

			Disagree	Agree
	a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2 3	4 (5)
l	b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2 3	4 (3)
l	c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2 3	4 🔇
	d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2 3	4 (3)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

He was always respectful of different points of view from students

-		Disagree	Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's			
1	contents and objectives.	1 2 3	4 (5)	- 45
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other			. 6
	coursework.	1 2 3	42 5	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2 3	4 (3)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The study guide could have been created and distributed more in advance

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	Excellent
a. Af	er carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating	g of your instructor. 1 2	3 4 (5)
b. Af	er carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating	g of this course. 1 2	3 4 (5)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

He chooses highly fascinating subject matter and always engages us to think critically about it.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

There could be more curiting assignments

other an aller rations server has reconstructed by the cates

· 1987 和 图 [46] [40] and fine of the Salahad Salahad are the policy of Salahad Salahad are the policy of the Salahad Salahad

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course# Major	781 23A	Semester Spyl	_	applicable) <u>Co</u>	s's Name Share	_
Major	<u>' 10 </u>		Minor (ii	applicable) _ &	2011011110 3	
Status:	☐ First year		A Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student	
1. Instr	uctor's Promo	otion of Students'	Learning		a drama A. Disagree	unustruus Agree n 19
b. The c. The d. The	instructor was instructor enco	intellectually chal skilled in helping buraged students to buraged students' in (e.g., homework, l	students masto take learning ntellectual self	seriously and to f-reliance and sel	ots and skills. think critically. 1 2 f-motivation. 1 2	3 4 (5) 3 4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

The instructor presented material in a clear manner.

			Disagr	ee		Agı	ree
ſ	a.	Overall, the course was well organized.				4 6	<u> </u>
I	b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 (5)
	c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

learning tools.

		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.		3 4 5
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3 4 (5)
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3 4 5
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3 4 3

		Disagree	Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1 2	3 4 75
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.		3 4 6
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2	3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor and a second secon	Excellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor. 1 2 3	4 🚳
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course. 1 2 3	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

The class was presented with very clear expectations and goals and I think that the class went very smoothly is result, the themes of the class were relevant and I learned a lot over the semester. The exams were challenging, but the reading Griets helped out grack-wise.

aj o arīja sabilstītu aj vije erā u iemāmaturā ere i iem uz Akstrajes iemājā eija je da

r Johann Greine fin backer eine Fallet die een laar nacht en de sterrein in de sterrein dat de sterrein dat de

manufact of the same will be but at the control of the

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Keep up the good work!

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.
Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.
Course# 16381 Semester Spring Year 2013 Instructor's Name Share
Major P&G and Spanish Minor (if applicable) Latin American Studies
Status: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate Student
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning Disagree Agree
a. The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. e. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.
Everything was great; reading briefs helped a lot 2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations
a. Overall, the course was well organized. Disagree Agree 1 2 3 4 5
a. Overall, the course was well organized. b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Every thing was clear and the course was organized well
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students Disagree Agree
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. 1 2 3 4 5 2 3 4 5 3 4 5 4 5 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. HE always was prompt in returning my emails and answering any overtimes

4.	Instructor's	Evaluation	of Students'	Learning
т.	THOU ACTOL 9	Lvaiuativii	or princing	Learning

		Disag	ree		Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's				\sim	2000
İ	contents and objectives.	1	2	3	(4 ³) 5	ŀ
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other					
	coursework.	1	2	- 3	4 (5)	ŀ
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5)	ž.

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

My only suggestion is more frequent tests; it was really hard to mumorize so much info for a single exam; may be 3 per semester?

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . 1 2	3 4 (5)
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	3 4 (3)
	<u> </u>	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

He is very knowledgable on the SUDject and presents material while allowing for all viewpoints. My only suggestion is more than 2 tests per semister.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

great choices on books that were negwined, I personally enjoyed them all

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\Precedit{1} \) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	<u>381 </u>	Semester Spring	Year <u>2013</u>	Instructor's N	Name Share
Major Pd	iticst Governi	nent	_ Minor (if ap	oplicable) <u>LAS</u> †	Sparish
Status:	☐ First year	□ Sophomore	☐ Junior	Senior	☐ Graduate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 2 3	4 ③
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1 2 3	4 ③
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically	. 1 . 2 3	4 🔇
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1 2 3	4(5)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful		,
	learning tools.	1 2 3	
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2 3	4 3

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Lots of moterial. Hard work required but all very dear.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		Agree	
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.				4 🕲	
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	·4 ③	
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 🕥	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Very prepared and organized.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2 3	4 🔇
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2 3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2 3	4 (3)
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2 3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

We were forced to engage the material with current events projects and case reports.

		Disag	ree			Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's						199
1	contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4	6	Tr eş
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other					$\overline{}$	
	coursework.	1	2	3	4	\odot	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4	(3)	4

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Only one test thus far but what I expected.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	<u> </u>	Poor	Excellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instruc-	tor. 1 2	3 4 3
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	1 1 2	3 4 (3)
	<u></u>	Salarat dos comos	a was in the president and the

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

The instructors biggest strengths are challenging the class to think critically by engaging us constantly and presenting every thing in a very clear and interesting manner.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Great course. I thought that this course had just the right ammount of work 380 was great as well but the paper at the end was intense given other class work.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information

	provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to
	take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.
	Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\Bar{\pi} \) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.
	Course#PG 381 Semester Spring Year 2013 Instructor's Name SNace
	Major Spanish TPE Minor (if applicable)
	Status: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate Student
	1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning Disagree Agree
	a. The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. e. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
)	Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. I leaved a for of info packed into a short amount of the Don did a great job of teaching us a long to complet vistery off us-LA relations in this semester. The material was crallenging yet presented clearly.
	2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Disagree Agree
	a. Overall, the course was well organized. b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
	Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. The Course was extremely bounted + too wed each
	we will will out + axeled with dispospolicate out
	cross well laid out + packed with discussion material to cover so that we rever worted time
	3. Instructor's Interaction With Students
	a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. Disagree Agree 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
	Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.
)	The whole class was very engaged, which I think reflects Don's teaching abilities. I really appreciated his style of leading discussion + activities to each style of leading discussion + activities to each style of leading discussion + activities to each style of participated.
	appreciated his style of leading ascussion + actual
	15 tening to even student was participated.

		Disagree		Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's			•
	contents and objectives.	1 2	3 4	(5)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other			
	coursework.	1 2	3 4	1 Ø
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2	3 4	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Presentations, reading briefs, and tests were all very useful and he did a great job of evaluating each one. I appreciated his encouraging + prompt feedback.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

				Section 1997	Poor	Excellent	. 8
a. After carefully of	considering the iter	ms above, provi	de an overall ra	ting of your instruct	or 1 2	3 4 (5)	
b. After carefully o	considering the iter	ms above, provi	de an overall ra	ting of this course	1 2	3 4 5	1
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ល់ក្នុង មានស្នាស្តី។		4.0

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

I early enjoyed naving Don as a professor and would take another course ut him if I musn't apartial. He towart me a lot about a subject I really enjoy-

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

This was an interesting and relunt course well designed, organited, and especially well executed,

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\Presetting \) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cours	e# 16381	Semester Sp	ing Year 2	013 Instructor	r's Name <u>Shove</u>	۱۳۰ <u>۰ ک</u>	
Major	Communica	tions	Minor (if	applicable) P	#G		
Status			Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Stude	ent	
1. I	nstructor's Promo	tion of Students'	Learning		iliani di mana	isagree	Agree
a. 7	The instructor was	intellectually chal	lenging.				
b. 7	The instructor was:	skilled in helping	students maste	er relevant conce	pts and skills.	1 2 3 4	O
c. 7	The instructor enco	uraged students to	take learning	seriously and to	think critically.	1 2 3 4	6
d.	The instructor enco	uraged students' i	ntellectual self	f-reliance and sel	f-motivation.	1 2 3 4	
	Class assignments (
	learning tools.					1 2 3 4	O
f. 7	The instructor prese	ented material in a	clear manner	e devired an ab	phiefy gymne, August	1 2 3 4	3

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

			Disagree			Agree	-
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.		1	2	3	4 3	
Ъ.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.		1	2	3	4 3	
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.		. 1	2	3	4 3	

法公司 人名马纳多洲紫绿色

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	Agree	
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3	4 🥰
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3	4 🗷
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3	4 5
	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3	4 6

			ree				
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's						
	contents and objectives.	1	2	3	Ø	5	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other						14
	coursework.	1	0	3	4	5	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4	0	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Our First test 1 did very poorly on. I fell extremely comfortable with the Study guide material, but i awas not able to apply that information to the test. I think a more effective method would be to have the study guide written by share, rather than the Students.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	Excellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instru	ictor. 1 2	3 4 5
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course	1 2	3 4 🚓

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

If is clear that share is very passionate about GA. I think the content of the classes could be changed up a little (Instead of having a presentation ediscussion almost every day).

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

| Was never clear on who to study. There were a ton of actors
Presented in the materials, and I could not study them all.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	P26381	Semester Spr	ing Year Z	43 Instructor	's Name <u>Sh</u>	we
Major P	hitis an	uga erhme	Minor (if	applicable) re	rifish.	
Status:	☐ First year	☐ Sophomore	Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate S	Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

	कार्यक्षक र १३	Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 2 3	4 🔌
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1 2 3	4 🚱
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1 2 3	4 Q
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1 2 3 4	4 (5)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful		
	learning tools.	1 2 3	4 <i>(52</i>
f.	learning tools. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2 3	4 <i>(5)</i>

TO ME BUSINESS

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

			Disagree				
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1 2	3	4 <i>G</i>			
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 2	3	4 5			
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2	3	4 (3			

r that the common the first state of the common state of the common state of the common state of the common state of

Minds it easier to the

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

			Disagr	ee		Α	gree	
	a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	(4)	5	
	Ъ.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	Q:	5	
	c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	. 1	2	3	(4)	5	
		The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	(4)	5	
- 1						~		

				Disagree			;	
	a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's						
		contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4(5)		
	b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other				ers Terre		
ı		coursework.	1	2	3	(4) 5	- 4	
	c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	- 1	2	3	4 (3)	. k s odg	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

While it was clear what we were supposed to know for the Exams, it would have been hice to get the strang giveles forther in advance.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

_		Poor	Excellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor.	1 2 % 3	4 🜀
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	1 2 3	4 ©
	the state of the s	La Production of	and the second second second

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

I thought that everything waswar organized and presented in acceptance and presented in allean manner which made it easier to leath the muterial.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

enforces in above the order of the second consistency of the consisten

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It is for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encountake this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for your appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box and your responses will be types	will also be used by the instructor raged to respond thoughtfully, to ou to reflect and provide an honest grades. If you do not want the
Course# 381 Semester S Year 2013 Instructor's Name Don	
Major PEG Comparative Minor (if applicable) English	
Status:	Student
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	
7.1.22000	Disagree Agree
 a. The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. e. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. 	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you	ur ratinos
the leading birely questions of question gleat job of leading the student to between concepts without stating the 2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations	o Making connection Um Outlight. Disagree Agree
a. Overall, the course was well organized.b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you	ur ratings.
Super well-oliganized & clear.	集成各分配 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students	Disagree Agree
 a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. 	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you the following the comments on reading the helpful & ensuraging	ofiely always

		Disag	ree		Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's				_	
	contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other					
	coursework.	1	2	(3)	4 5	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

we could have gotten more than one class period before making the study guide? Or warning not to wait for the study guide to study quide to study

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent	£',
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor. 1 2	3 4 🐼	. 7
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course. 1 2	3 4 (5)	- 1
1			

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Don does a fantastic job delivering this rich material without "giving it all away"— the students are intellectuably challenged to make all the connections themselves & when they do their minds are blown.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

more mexico please!

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\Precent \) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	PG38!	_ Semester Spr	My Year 2	0 3 Instructor	's Name Don Sh	ACO_
Major _	Spanis	h	Minor (if	applicable) <u>L</u>	AS & MAh	
Status:	☐ First vear	□ Sonhomore	Dolunior	□ Senior	□ Graduate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

	profesion 1. P.	Disagree Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 2 3 4 6
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1 2 3 4 (5)
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1 2 3 4 (5)
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1 2 - 3 4 5
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful	
	learning tools. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2 3 4 5
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2 3 4

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

				Disagree	Agree
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.		The state of the s	1 2 3	4 (3)
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.			1 2 3	4 (3)
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students	responsibilities.	-	1 2 3	4 3
	그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그는 그는 이 월요한 뜻이 그 그는 그 그 가장 하는 그를 가장 했다. 그는 그 전 사람들은 그 그를 다 했다.				-

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3 4 32
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3 4 (5)
c,	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3 4 5
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3 4 3 7

4.]	Instructor's	Evaluation	of Students'	Learning
------	--------------	-------------------	--------------	----------

		Disagree	Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's			
	contents and objectives.	1 2 3	(4) 5	. 54
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other			-
	coursework.	1 2 3) 4 5	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2 3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Too way haves melt by to not have

A real study guide

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . 1	2 3 (4)5
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Reading & Reading Edges did not help

Me prepare for the axames. by were

word like sumprizing questions vake how

how the ways were so ID, heavy

Also, reading brief were excessive. Over 100

leges as visiting plus A & western finding brief

eads me to not do all of the careling.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Consceler Looking, at how US intervention

end to immiscation