To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

	16 380	_Semester 14 M	Year <u>2</u> (13_ Instructor	's Name Day Share	
Major _	476		Minor (if	applicable)		
Status:	☐ First year		☐ Junior	Senior	☐ Graduate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

			ee		Agree		
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4 (5)		
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 (5)		
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 3		
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 (5)		
€.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful						
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (5)		
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 (3)		

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		Agree
	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 (3
	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 3
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (3)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagr	ee		Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	4 (5)
	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4 (5)
	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4 (5)
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

the always encouraged feeple to participate + ask questions.

Very available by email and in the office hours.

Updated January 2012

			Disagree			Agree		
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4	(3)		
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4	(S)		
C.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4	Ð		

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don was great at givens immediate feedback on reading briefs - I really appreciated that.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor			E	xcellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> .	1	2	3	4	5
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.		2	3	4	5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Don is a great professor. He is very approachable, knowledgeable, organized, etc.

I would definitely recommend him.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I don't have much to say. good class!

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest

appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor. Year 2013 Instructor's Name Share

Year 2013 Instructor's Name Share

Minor (if applicable) GUNDEN Status: ☐ First year ☐ Sophomore XJunior □ Senior ☐ Graduate Student 1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning Disagree The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. b. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. I thought the assignments were challonging, but the expectations were clear, as were the directions Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Disagree Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. course formant was laid out wall a expretations Clear. He was always well prepared + interesting to listen to, He dearly knows and about the subject + Instructor's Interaction With Students loves fearing it. Disagree The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. The instructor led students to engage the course material. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Probably the most helpful + available prof. I've had yet...

			Disagree			Agree		
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	Ī	2	3	4 8)		
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4 (5))		
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	465)		

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

tough grades but very fair. Test prep was very useful a there were no surprises on the exams-we all know what to study so there was no gurishy

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	- And Control of Contr	Poor			Excellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> .	1	2	3	4 🔊
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .	1	2	3	4 🔇

Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

+ clearly explained concepts + events

reading briefs are a bit much, and not useful for studying.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \Box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cou	rse# 3%0	Semester Fall	2 Year 2	013 Instructor	r's Name Shar	re					
Maj	or P&G		Minor (if	applicable) M	US12						
Stat	us: 🛘 First year	\square Sophomore	☐ Junior	Senior	☐ Graduate S	tudent					
1.	Instructor's Promo	otion of Students'	Learning								
						Disagr	ee			Agree	
a.	The instructor was					1	2	3	4	6)	
b.	The instructor was	skilled in helping	students maste	er relevant concep	ots and skills.	1	2	3	4	Õ	
c.	The instructor enco	ouraged students to	take learning	seriously and to	think critically.	1	2	3	4	3	
d.	The instructor enco	uraged students' in	ntellectual self	f-reliance and self	f-motivation.	1	2	3	4	(3)	
e.	Class assignments	(e.g., homework, l	ab reports, paj	pers, readings) we	ere useful					0	
	learning tools.					1	2	3	4	Q.	
f.	The instructor prese	ented material in a	clear manner.			1	2	3	4	(5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The reading briefs (while initially a bit amonging) were actibility really helpful because it forced me to read critically and take notes on all the readings, Igot better grades be of it!

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagre	e		Agree	
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 B	
C.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagr	ee		A	gree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	4	3
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4	3
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4	0
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4	6

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

He was always very approachable, and made sure the students (like me!) who weren't Spanish students (studied abroading Latin America weren't left behind.

	ontents and objectives.	Disagree			Agre		
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other	1	2	3	4	5	
b. c.	coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2 2	3	4 4	3	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I don't really have much to say here, it was the amount and difficulty of work I expect from an upper division P&G course

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor		E	xcellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u>. b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 				(S)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

the 18 good at velocing his own own experiences in away that adds to the class

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I wish the Cubar class was happening when I was still here! But oh well is

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor. Course# PC 380 Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name Share Major Ph. losophy Minor (if applicable) Pol. tils +60V. Status: ☐ First year ☐ Graduate Student Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning Disagree The instructor was intellectually challenging. 3 0 The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. b. 3 1 5 The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. 2 3 \$\overline{Q}\$ 5 The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. 2 ③ 4 f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Protessor share was very engaging and thoughtful, however I felt as though the landing Briefs of ten consisted of busy work Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Disagree Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Protossor share was very well prepared and willing to gride Course discission in amering ful way.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

	Disagr	Agree			
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	4	6
b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4	0
c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4	3
d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4	67

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don was great as for as ensuing with stellands

		Disag	ree_			Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4	(5)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4	(g)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I never took issue with Don's evaluation of my learning, and trequently felt his constructive exitions between directly to silvess or papers and tests.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor			E	xcellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> .	1	2	3		6
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	1	2	3	4	(5)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

I helieve Don is pest at engiging with students in a manner that makes the material hoth intrasion and compelling, over the most mendane of political analysis was handled in a manner that peckaged it well ore improvement that I think will be made is to allow more option assignment in class discussions.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I would recommed more films (they contextualized) readings well) and more option essignments (see Farher civil solicty chapters).

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \Box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	PG 380	Semester Fall	Year 20	Instructor's N	Name Don Share
Major	PE + Politic	Sk Grow't	_ Minor (if ap	plicable)	
Status:	☐ First year	\square Sophomore	Uunior	□ Senior	☐ Graduate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

			Disagree				
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	P 3		
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	A 3		
C.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 5		
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 8		
е.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	1	2	3	1 3		
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 5		

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Made some that everything we were doing was relained to be the the course and the contemporary situation in laster Armerica. I feel like I know for more about the region than I would have expended even after coming the class with a different instructor.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

			Disagree			
	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4	5
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4	5
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	51

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don has always been well prepared and well organized.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagre	ee		Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	4-5
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4 3
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4 8
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4 =3

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don was always been available for contact entire of class.

		Disag	ree		Agree	2
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1.	2	3	4 8	
b. c.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2 2	3	4 <i>(</i> 3) 4 <i>(</i> 5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I was not supported by his grading, as the expectations were miderary dear. I approvided for Separal with which he procled our reading briefs.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	0		Excellent	t
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> .	1	2	3	4 3	
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.		2	3	45	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Don's a great professor, and I most expension to his ability to hing contemporary and "heal-world," personal examples to the fisher shall as his photos of labor, or getticles whether from the Labor the class and the subject and for the class and the subject and full subject and full subject and

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I would only encurage designing films for homework gather then in class, so that we could have more time for obscursion.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It is for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encoutake this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for your appraisal.	will also be u	sed by the instructor
Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be type	grades. If yo	ou do not want the
Course# PG 380 Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name Don		
Major IPE 3 HISP Minor (if applicable)		
Status: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate	Student	
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning		
	Disagree	Agree
 a. The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. e. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful 	1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	
learning tools. f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2	3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you		3 + (3)
Reading lones challenged students to Course and benefit from taking time concepts in class.	think to di	contralle
2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations		
a Overall the course were lived to	Disagree	Agree
 a. Overall, the course was well organized. b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. 	1 2 1 2 1 2	3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	ratings.	
Syllabus clearly explained expectations. A	Ways	included
Syllabus clearly explained expectations. A yearning tasks at beginning of class	J	
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students		
	Disagree	Agree
 a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. 	1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	ratings.	
Handled accepting student answers	den	ing dass
well while girding them to the cor	rect a	nswer.

		Disag	iee		Agree	_
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Dicagrae

Agree

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Student-generated study gude made test easter to prepare for with less surprises.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

597. 506. 3800 A-50 glob Chapter Chapt	Poor	•	Excellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 			 4 (5) 4 (5)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Professor 16 moredibly knowledgable & has great insight on the topics distributed. Improve crass by telling more stones about personal expenence!

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Overall great course, learned lets & was able to connect concepts to other courses in different departments. My only recommendation: allow reading brufs to be tuned in later than 930 on day of class.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \Box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	PG 380	Semester Fall	Year <u>20</u>	3 Instructor's N	Name Share	
Major P	8 G and	Spanish	_ Minor (if ap	plicable) LAS		
Status:	☐ First year	\square Sophomore	☐ Junior	Senior	☐ Graduate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

	ne instructor was intellectually challenging. ne instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. ne instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. ne instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. ness assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful	Disagi	Agree			
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4	(3)
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4	(3)
C.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4	(3)
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4	(3)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful					0
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4	(5)
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4	3

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Even though reading briefs sometimes seem accountable for readings.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagree)		Agree	
a.	, and the state of	1	2	3	4 3	
	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.				4 (5)	
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

He is always prepared and power points are organized and clear.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagr	ee		A	Agree
a. The instructor showed concern	for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	4	(5.)
b. The instructor was respectful of		1	2	3	4	(5)
c. The instructor was available du	iring office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4	(3)
d. The instructor led students to e	ngage the course material.	1	2	3	4	(5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

He encourages us to take our par own stance on issues, and is always welcoming and hypful in office hours.

_			Disag	ree		Agree	
	a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
	b. c.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 1	2 2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Everything was clear. If you did the work, you would be successful on the tests.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor		Excellent
After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .	1 1		4 (5)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

He really organizes this course well in terms of assignments, class themes, and activities which is why I tove his classes. Maybe if there was a way to hold more strolents accountable for participation other than a groot, thout would be nice, but in the end it is our responsibility as strounts to participate.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I loved the Dragon in the Tropics book. Is there any book that would go with the section on Nicaragua rather than reading 2 books for Cuba? that would be interesting to see.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor. Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name Don Share Performance Minor (if applicable) Politics & Government Status: ☐ First year ☐ Sophomore X Junior ☐ Senior ☐ Graduate Student 1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning Disagree The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Though reading briefs were sometimes laborious, they were injunerally helpful to for studying! Articles and assignments were interesting a relevant. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Organization of the Class over the course of the semester was great. Instructor's Interaction With Students The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. The instructor led students to engage the course material. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings Great lectures, great questions for the group. We were a kind of griet group, but classes glowed great 4 without many hiccyps.

Updated January 2012

		Disag	ree		Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4 5
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Feedback on assign ments was helpful. Study guide techniques & veriew sessions were also helpful, and readily Prepared us for tests.

Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poo	r		E	Excellent
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .					3
,	After eareiting considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this estate.		_	-	08840	C

Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Lectures, & assignments were quest, clear & helpful,

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in

I really enjoyed this class; it was extremely interesting. I learned a ton, and hope to take unother class with you before gradualing.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your is provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It wis for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encourage take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grant to the student of the student in	Il also be used by the instructor ged to respond thoughtfully, to a to reflect and provide an honest rades. If you do not want the
instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed	before it is given to the instructor.
Course# PG380 Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name DON	Share
Major PBG, History Minor (if applicable) Global Develop	omental Studies
Status:	tudent
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagree Agree
 a. The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. e. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. 	Disagree Agree 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
 f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your 	
Course had diverse and intellectually challe which Don presented in a clear manner did not necessarily enoourage self-motive a Useful learning tool for exam preparations organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations	Reading briefs atton but were gration.
	Disagree Agree
a. Overall, the course was well organized.b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	ratings.
The class had an extremely well organi	zed structure,
ou can tell Don has taught this cou	inse before.
ou can tell Don has taught this cou on provided clear expectations and feedbaggs. Instructor's Interaction With Students	ack on assignment
 a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. 	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	
During class discussions, Don always	attempted to

going clarify or provide additional context if necessary but was respectful 3 cager to Updated January 2012 hear our opinions.

		Disag	ree		Agi	ee
 Tests, quizzes, papers, an contents and objectives. 	d other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course	e's 1	2	3	4 (3	
	easonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and othe	r			^	
	ough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5))

Dicagree

Agree

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Enjoyed the high level of feedback and preparation on all tests, papers, and other coursework.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor			Ex	cellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> .	1	2	3	4 (5)
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .		2	3	4	(5)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

I believe that Don is an incredible professor and really sparked an interest in the region. I look forward to taking additional courses with him in the future.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

If I have any feedback, it would be to consider reducing the number of reading briefs. Unless the students demonstrate they have not been doing the assigned readings, one brief per week would be plenty to keep up with important terms and concepts

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor. Year 2013 Instructor's Name 1201 St men+Minor (if applicable) Status: ☐ First year ☐ Sophomore ☐ Junior Senior ☐ Graduate Student Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning Disagree The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. b. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. 2 The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Disagree Agree Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. 3 The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Instructor's Interaction With Students Disagree Agree The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.

The instructor led students to engage the course material.

aterial and making sure

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

explaining t

2

			ree		Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 5	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4 5	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 50	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I feit that it was very clear what we nealed to know tertests and tests covered what we consered in class

Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		oor			Excellent
a. After carefully considering the items above,	rovide an overall rating of your instructor.	1	2	3	4 3
b. After carefully considering the items above,	rovide an overall rating of this course.	1	2	3	4 3

Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

professor share definitery knows a lot about latin america

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I enjoyed this class but it was evite Similar to US- Leetih American receptions

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information

provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor. Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name Prof. Don Shave Major Hispanie Int Studies Minor (if applicable) Senior

Graduate Student Status: ☐ First year ☐ Sophomore ☐ Junior 1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Reading brief assingments were four, challenging, and useful Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Disagree Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. clearly organized class structure **Instructor's Interaction With Students** Disagree The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. The instructor led students to engage the course material. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Highly accessible professor. Quick & in depth e-mail replies. Great reading brief feedback. Highly reliable

			ree	Agree		
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4 (5) 4 (5)	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Robert Feedback on reading briefs and group project. Grades to

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor			Ex	cellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> .	1	2	3	4	(3)
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.		2	3	4	5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

· Fair grader, engaging lecturer, incorporates interesting contemporary topics that relate to past conflicts levents

. Sometimes there were challenged with the powerpoints

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

- Easily one of my top three UPS courses - A research paper first draft registement would have vice

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#		Semester Fall			Instructor's Nam	e_ Don	Share
Major _	Business/H	lispanic Intil	_ Minor	t (if applica	nble)		
Status:	☐ First year	□ Sophomore	☐ Junior	ØS	Senior 🛛 (Graduate Stud	dent

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disagree			Agree		
The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4	0		
The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4	3	-	
The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4	(5)		
The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4	(5)		
Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful							
	1	2	3	4	3		
The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4	(3)		
	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. 1 2 3 4 5 Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don has a somewhat calm demeanor, but is still very enthusiastic about the

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

			Disagree			
	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4	(5)
	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1				
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	3

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don is quite possibly the most organized possibly the most organized time For returning homework is insanel

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

	Disagree	Agree
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding	ng of the material. 1 2 3	4 3
b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2 3	4 3
c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by a	ppointment. 1 2 3	4 (3)
d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2 3	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

	we	were	not	OL	veiy	1	alkative	cla	55
			definitly						
a	ton.								

			Disagree			Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4	6
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4	(3)
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4	(3)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

	Doni	Feedback	uas	= always	50	
well	detailed	Lis alu	eres ku	eu even	1 Thing	From
the	reading	s vell	before	class.		

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor			Е	xcellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> .	1	2	3	4	3
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.		2	3	4	(5)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

	There	was	d	ton	of	male.	ial
during	the	course,	at	times	a	bit	much,
but	it re	s all	50,	50	elace	nt	+
interest	ing. Perh	aps	divide	the	Co	use	into
tuo	classes?	Just	a	Though	nt.		
	134	Far o	ne ,	of my	Fai	wile	+ most
challen	e ine p	ofe. I'm	ve 1	rael	here	at	UB. I'm
40	mano ful	Fert	taking	Mes	cle	25,	

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I was very satisfied with the

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information

provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It is for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encoutake this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for yappraisal.	raged to respond thoughtfully to
Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be type	grades. If you do not want the d before it is given to the instructor.
Course# 380 Semester fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name D	or Share
Major Minor (if applicable) $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}$	
Status: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate	Student
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagree Agree
 a. The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. e. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. 	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you	ur ratings.
The reading Briefs were a huge benefit	by engaging us in
the readings & pulling out important themes & top:	cs. All lectures were
the reading Bosts were a huge benefit the readings & pulling out imposition themes & top: Very Biganzed filear, allowing for an easy und	leistanding,
2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations	
a Overall the course well ensemble.	Disagree Agree
a. Overall, the course was well organized.b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6
1.00	1 2 3 4 5)
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that halp give context to you	
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that halp give context to you	
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that halp give context to you	
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you Every lecture was Nevy organized, the only from was not enough time in a class to cover a detail. Buttle unfinited topics were revisited.	
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you Every lecture was nevy organ. Ted, the only find was not enough time in a class to cover a detail. Hell until hed topics were revisited. 3. Instructor's Interaction With Students a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	Disagree Agree 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you Every lecture was nevy organ. Ted, the only find was not enough time in a class to cover a detail. Hell until hed topics were revisited. 3. Instructor's Interaction With Students a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	Disagree Agree 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you Every lecture was nevy organ. Ted, the only find was not enough time in a class to cover a detail. Hell until hed topics were revisited. 3. Instructor's Interaction With Students a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	Disagree Agree 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you five leading leaders was never organized, the only five was not enough time in a classic cover a detail. Hell unfinited topics were revisited. 3. Instructor's Interaction With Students a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	Disagree Agree 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6

			ree	Agree		
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4	3
b. c.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 1	2 2	3	4	\$

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Evaluation of every assignment was extensive of thosough. Lots of Leed back.

Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor		E	cellent	
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u>. b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 			4	70	

Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

He presents natural from different view points & is excellent at explaining complex topics.

Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

The per leading from various perspectives was fascinating (Farber US. Yaon:) & the films were the most help ful part of under standing the Big picker Context of material.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course# 360 Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name Down Major Politics + Grovensteht Minor (if applicable) Status: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate S		-
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagree	Agraa
 a. The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. e. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. 	1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3	4 🐒
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your Class discussions were engaging and helped make can be would britted helped make can be for the readings and Provided Mealpful Comments on how to improve and what	ratings. NCLPTS Cle Dem adi Torress to	erer. Wys Provnjal Study:

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagree			Agree	
	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4	©
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4	E 2
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	3

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Classes were well argunized and the samester Flaved 30 5 mostly it feet like it frew by.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree		P	Agree	
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3	4	6	
b.	and the state of the variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3	4	(5)	
C.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3	4	6	
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3	4	(8)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don was readily available forovere here or questions by email. The reading breef were ling but trong hered have know he here one and digest dense Material better then Supply just reading it.

		Disag	ree		Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 5
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The midtern was comprehensive isome wear seemed rather specific requiring memorization but not doubte Don provided great feed back which weally happed me be a better writers

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

٥.	Otel all motification and course a second and a second an	Poor		Excellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .			4 (3) 4 (3)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

Don was great! I though enjoyed canning to class eachdary becase the topics were interesting, instary mixed with carrient events hept it engaging. We covered so miny topics yet it was not are menerically because it was specific sections at a time. A title more prepter middern and final woold be nice but overall I really liked it.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

This class was well organized it was very height to have all the assurgments at the Degining after year Parthose who like to plan chemical was nice to not simply have between but to unix with small grapsions sussian and presentations.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \Box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course	PG380	Semester Fal	Year 20	213 Instructor	r's Name Share	
Major _	IPE, Spe	anish	Minor (if a	pplicable)		
Status:	☐ First year		☐ Junior	Senior	☐ Graduate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

			Disagree				
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4 (5)		
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 (3)		
C.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 (5)		
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 3		
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful			120			
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (5)		
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 (5)		

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The heavy amount of coursework encouraged us to really engage in the material. The course structure was such that we have been building on concepts and ideas presented at the beginning of the course.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

1			
1	2	3	4 (5)
1			
1	2	3	4 (5)
	1	1 2 1 2	1 2 3 1 2 3

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

All expectations were cloarly drawn out on Syllabus or on assignment guideline handouts

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree		Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	. 1 . 2	3	4 (5)
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3	4 🕤

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don is very open to multiple viewpoints Cand encourages them) and uses his personal Knowledge and experiences to complement those of his Students and the Updated January 2012 readings.

		Disag	ree		Agree	
a.	contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b. c.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 1	2 2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.

The Estas Coursework has forced me to work really hard outside of class, but as long I I engage in the material, that in this way (although sometimes the reading briefs feel tedious) I feel I have mastered the concepts. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation and Evaluations of my work are poor excellent fair.

a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor. 1 2 3 4 3

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Don is a great professor in all of these categories, but what he does best is showing his personal passion for the subject matter, without being biased. He expresses his opinions, but they are never the final word on the matter.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

The reading briefs are such a blessing and such a curse. There have been times I stanted resenting the readings because of them, but I think they are incredibly important. Perhaps having a couple free pass' days would allow students to remain engaged while also accounting tor days that are simply overwhelming for the student.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor. Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name Don Share __ Minor (if applicable) Spanish Status: ☐ First year ☐ Sophomore ☐ Senior ☐ Graduate Student Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning Disagree The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. 2 3 f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Instructor leads class in challenging and thought-provoking ways. Instructor is knowledgeable and passionate about course Material. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Disagree Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Class is well organized and it is obvious that instructor has deliberately set up the curriculum as it is. Parts of the course seem divergent because it is such a broad survey, but themes and the lessons always come back together to make sense. Instructor's Interaction With Students Disagree The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

This class is discussion-based and requires Significant street independence to engage with the material. However, the instructor was able to go the discussion and clarify questions when we needed help. The instructor led students to engage the course material.

		Disag	ree		Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Reading briefs were very time-consuming and often difficult but they helped because they required in-tepth understanding at the material and were good study tools. The mid-term exam was harder than I expected because at the specificity required for the term identifications and analysis. Readings were time-consuming also.

Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor			E	xceth	ent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> .	1	2	3	4	(5)	
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	1	2	3	4	(5))

Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved. The instructor is very welcoming to students and is knowledgeable about the material, presenting it in an easily accessible manner. Great teacher to have.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

This course is very broad and provided everything I wanted, namely to learn in depth about a wide-range of politics in Latin America. However I would have liked to learn about other countries like Guyana and Paragray too. Work was intensive. Others significant than that, class was good.