To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \Box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cours	ie# <u>PG102 A</u>	_ Semester	Year <u>2</u>	013 Instructor	''s Name <u>Don</u>	Share			
Major	- Biology or	- P+G (und	<u>ec</u>)Minor (if	applicable)		······································			
Status	atus: First year 🗆 Sophomore 🗀 Junior 🗆 Senior 🗀 Graduate S								
1. I	nstructor's Promo	otion of Students'	Learning			Disagree		Agree	
a. ´	The instructor was	intellectually chal	lenging.			1 2	3	(4) 5	*******
	The instructor was			er relevant concer	ots and skills.	1 2	3	(4) 5	
c. '	The instructor enco	uraged students to	take learning	seriously and to	think critically.	1 2	3	4 (3)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful

The beginning of the dass was simpler due to the fact me mere building a base to go off of. Thes This second half of the term is much more interesting + stimulating.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

The instructor presented material in a clear manner.

<u></u>		Disagr	ee		Agree	
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 (3)	-
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (3)	
Į.					- Augustin	- 1

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don does a wonderful job of ensuring me Know the material by daily, morning pop quizes — not always fun, but helpful when studying for midterms.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

learning tools.

f.

	Disagree	Agree
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3 4 (5)
b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3 4 (5)
c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3 4 (5)
d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3 4 🖏
		1

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I always saw Don in the Sub during lunch hour and he is always extremely approachable.

		Disag	ree		Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b. с.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 1	2 2	3	4 (5) 4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

In response to 4c, Don always is helpful in talking about quizes and the midtern. The amound of feedback given on the midtern was above and beyond what I believe is expected.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	4 5 5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

What I think Don does best is laying out exactly what is significant and why — the why is important. However, he also allows personal critical analysis with the extra Moodle articles. Those, I truly think, added to the class and allowed it to be fully engaging and applicable.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I would like to have a little more time to tally compare the different pairs of countries we are assigned.

I feel as though more time should be spent towards doing a more in-depth look note into the two countries differences + similarities. Otherwise, this class was extremely useful and captivating!

Updated January 2012

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cou	rse# $\frac{2013}{102A}$ Semester 1 Year $\frac{2013}{100}$ Instructor's Name $\frac{200}{100}$	hare_	_
Maj	or Under ded Minor (if applicable) Humanities		
Stat	us: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate S	tudent	
1.	Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagree	Aaraa
r		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 2	3 4 (5) 3 4 (5) 3 4 (5) 3 4 (5)
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1 2 1 2	3 4 5 3
C.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1 2	3 4 3
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1 2	3 4 (3)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	1 2	3 4 (5)
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2	3 4 (5)
	-		
	se explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your		
	This is a class that coursed a lot of around,	duarty 1	A a birt
		· · · · //	B.C. Variety
	This is a class that couved a lot of ground, amount of the Challerging but helpful. Well	taught	*
2.	Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations		
		Disagree	Agree
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1 2 1 2 1 2	3 4 (5)
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 2	3 4 5
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2	3 4 (5)
Plea	ase explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	ratings.	······································
	Exputators were explaned at star of class	, wet	throughout,
	and not heatens was issued a head of the linstructor's Interaction With Students	INF CX	pectoros
_	The state of the s	chan	ged.
3.	Instructor's Interaction With Students	Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3 4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.

The instructor led students to engage the course material.

The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.

Juy respectful, open, and retable maractions

 a. Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives. b. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. c. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work. 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 			Disag	ree		Agree	
b. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other	a.		1	2	3	4 (5)	
1 c. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.		The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.				, weekly.	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I telt prepared yet challeged, and knew what was expected for every test and quiz

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	•		E	xcellent	
- 1	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .	1	2 2	3	4	(S)	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Organization is definitely a strong suit, but so is listening, understanding, and communication. I can't think of Crysting that needs improvement. This class is challenging and has a lot of homework, but we were told that from the start.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Many of the assignments combined two counties and asked us to look at them in the same spon before class, which got them mixed up in my head a little theybe doing sub-units on individual counties and the combining them for a comporable prospective would be helpful? Other than that this was a phromial course.

Outset

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cou	rse# PG102A	Semester Fall	Year 之	<u>013</u> Instructor	's Name Don	Sha	5 E		***************************************	
Maj	or	**************************************	Minor (if	applicable)	***************************************					
Stati	ıs: 🏿 🎜 First year		☐ Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate S	Student				
1.	Instructor's Promo	otion of Students'	Learning							
						Disagr	ee		Agree	
a.	The instructor was	intellectually chall	enging.			1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor was					1	2	3	4 (5) (4) 3 (4) 5	
c.	The instructor enco					1	2	3	4 3	
d.	The instructor enco	ouraged students' ir	itellectual self	reliance and self	-motivation.	1	2	3	(4) 5	
e.	Class assignments	(e.g., homework, la	ab reports, pap	pers, readings) we	re useful					
	learning tools.					1	2	3	4 5 4 5	
f.	The instructor pres	ented material in a	clear manner.			1	2	3	4 5	
1	se explain the choice	ned he hol	ds he a	class to	hallenging	but 1				
6	expectation	s. Uses co	prent ec	ients to he	ip us see	tra	ŧ	il.	ide le	lein
	ichal we	are lew	nuly is	elavers						

Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

_			Disagr	ee		_ A	gree
	a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4	(S)
	b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4	3
	C.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	(<u>3</u>)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The organization of each group of cantries is logical. He is very knowlasalle about the topics we go over

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

	Disagree	Agree
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3 (3
b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3 4 (5)
c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.		3 4 📆
d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Very helpful in office hours and outside of chass. Answerd all my questions

		Disag	ree		Agree
a	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3 4	5
	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.			3 4 3	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Helpful comments on all the work I turned in thus clear expectation

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor			E	xcellent
8	a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> .	1	2	3	4	©
l	b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .	1	2	3	4	ð

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

Don is knowleyeable about current events that he incorporates to make he class more interesting.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

			-			
	rse# 102A Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name Don S		e			
Maj	or <u>P6 or IPE</u> Minor (if applicable) <u>EPDM</u> + Eco.	<u>n_</u>				
Stati	us: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate Senior Graduate Senior Senior Senior First year Senior Se	tudent				
1.	Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning					
		Disagr	ee		Agree	
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4 (52)	
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 G	
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful				_	
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

			Disagr	ee		Agree	
	a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
	b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
Ì	c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3 4 (5)
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3 4 (5)
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3 4 (3)
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3 4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

		Disag	ree		Agree	
	quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's nts and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
course	estructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other ework. Instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 1	2 2	3	4 (5) 4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor		Exceller	<u>nt</u>
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u>. b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 			4 (5) 4 (5)	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor

instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed [before it is given to the instructor.
Course# P&G 10Q Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name Don	Share
Major TPZ PC Minor (if applicable)	MANA Programmer
Status: A First year	tudent
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagree Agree
a. The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 6
b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1 2 3 4 5
c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1 2 3 4 6
d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1 2 3 4 6
e. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful	•
learning tools.	1 2 3 4 6 7
f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2 3 4 60
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	ratings.
I think that overall, the skills to learn the	e material necessari
were very clear. The comparative exercises were	very helping in darify
factual information. These would be useful if done	work often and co
with general neview of terms, facts and histo	ry.
2. Instructor S Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations	j
	Disagree Agree
a. Overall, the course was well organized.	1 2 2 4 6
b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 2 3 4 3
c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6
-	
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	_
Don has designed the course, the material very well and is always extremely prepared each day. The unchanged syllabors is wonderful 3. Instructor's Interaction With Students	and the daily war
very well and is always extremely prepared	and organized for a
each day. The unchanged syllabor is wonderful	to rely on.
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students	
	Disagree Agree
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2 3 4 6
b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2 3 1 6
	1 2 3 4 9 - (
d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2 3 4 5
d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your properties.	1 2 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	1 2 3 Ø 5 ratings.
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your think that appartunities to absorb	ratings.
	ratings.

Updated January 2012

		Disag	ree		Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's	1	2	2	4 (5)
b.	contents and objectives. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other				The state of the s
	coursework.	1	2	3	4 5
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 🗲 🗀 ′

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don is extremely consistent with day-to-day expectations of learning course which through guizzes, which provides structure and inventives to keep up with the course. These are always graded and returned with Redback in a timely manner.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	Excellent
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .		3 4 6 3 A 5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Don is a very interesting and reliable professor, two qualities that can often be mutcally exclusive. I appreciate the consistent ratine, with occasional variation, because it helps us to learn the course material consistently.

I would love more group exercises and discussion, as well as a reliew of terms and concepts at the end of each section.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I think the amphasis placed at comparing two countries over the course of time is very important, and think it would be interesting to also focus on the two countrys' relations and connections to are another, so used as with all the other countries we study.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \Box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cour	se# 102A Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name Show Note Cular & Cellular Brology or French Lit Studies Minor (if applicable) MA	ere			
wage	1 / /CETTET CT STILLTED WHITE (II approvate)				
Statu	s: 🛘 First year 🗘 Sophomore 📈 Junior 🗘 Senior 🗘 Graduate	Student			
1.	Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagr	e <i>e</i>		Agree
		Disagi			715100
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.]	2	3	4 (52
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 75)
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1 1 1	2	3	4 (3)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful				-
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (5)
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3 3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

articles solidity information from text

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagro	æ		Agree	
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.				4 (3)	
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.			3		
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagre	ee		Agı	ree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.		2		4 (3)
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4 (<u>5)</u>
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>)
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4 /	5)
1					Ç	_

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

flexible awilling to meet ourside of office hours

		Disag	ree		Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b. с.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2 2	3	4 (3)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

•	Poor		Excellent	
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u>. b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 			4 (5) 4 (5)	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

stand class a great job of keeping the classengaged (discussions, small group discussions, activities using hypothetical countries, etc.). This is especially helpful early in the morning.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

It takes me alittle longer to wrapmy headaround (1900) the institutions of less familiar countries (nondemocratic). It might be helpful to spendmore class time discussing these cases.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course# PG 102 A Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name Don Share										
Maj	or <u>Politics and Gover</u>	<i>nment</i> Mir	nor (if applic	able) Spanul	2					
Stat	us: 🛘 First year 🕡 Soph	omore 🛭 Jur	nior 🛭 🖰	Senior	□ Graduate Stu	ident				
1.	Instructor's Promotion of S	tudents' Learni	ing							
						Disagre	e		Ag	ree
a.	The instructor was intellectu					1	2	3	4 C	5)
b.	The instructor was skilled in	helping students	s master relev	ant concepts a	nd skills.	I	2	3	4 /	5
c.	The instructor encouraged st					1	2	3	4	5
d.	The instructor encouraged st					1	2	3	4 7	\circ
e.	Class assignments (e.g., hom	nework, lab repor	rts, papers, re	adings) were u	seful				_	-
	learning tools.					1	2	3	4 C	\triangleright
f.	The instructor presented mat	erial in a clear m	nanner.			1	2	3	4 C	D
					···				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The reading material for class was difficult and interesting. Class ausscussion and lecture was interesting and engaged students to answer and ask querions.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

a. Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	2		_
			J	4	(3)
b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4	(S)
c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.					Ø

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Syllubus was easy to follow and the class had a clear from and consistent schedule.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagro	e		A	Agree	
	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	4	Ø	
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4	(5)	
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4	Ø	
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4	\mathcal{G}	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Share is extremely hupoke and available airing office hours and he respond to emails quickly.

	Disagre	e		Agree	2
a. Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course contents and objectives.		2	3	4 <i>(</i>	
b. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.c. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2 2	3	4 <i>G</i> 4 <i>G</i>	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Tests are hard but the students are provided with hecessary material to master the information.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor		Ex	cellent	
I	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .			4 4		

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Share began the class with basic begining unburedge and continued to refrence/mention this informations to ensure complete understanding. The course is also interesting and vollaus a clear schedul.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

The course 11 well organized with a layout that is easy to tollow. The readings are interesting and helpful and pair well with dass lectures.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box □ and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cou	rse# $\frac{V(11)}{2}$	_ A Semester \(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}\right)	Year <u>L</u>	015 Instructor	's Name \Bigg 🕦	SNO	NU			
Maj	or BLP		Minor (if	applicable)						
Stati	us: KFirst yea	r 🗆 Sophomore	☐ Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate S	Student				
1.	Instructor's Pro	omotion of Students'	Learning			w				
						Disagr	ee		- 1	Agree
a.	The instructor w	as intellectually chal	lenging.			1	2	3	4	6)
b.	The instructor w	as skilled in helping	students maste	er relevant concer	ots and skills.	I	2	3	4	(5)
c.		ncouraged students to				1	2	3	4	(5)
d.		ncouraged students' i				1	2	3	4	\bigcirc
e.		nts (e.g., homework, l				-		_	Ė	
	learning too		1 /1 .			1	2	3	(<u>4</u>)	5
f.	The instructor p	resented material in a	ı clear manner.	•		1	2	3	4	(5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

THIS CICLES YEIRED ON STUDENTS MUNICIPING THEIR REAVINING BUTSIDE

OF THE CLASSYOOM, SO WHEN WE WERE IN THE CLASSYOOM THE MAIN

FOLLY WAS ALSCUSTION AND CLAYHUNG THE MUTCHIAI.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

	Disagr	ee		Ag	gree
a. Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4	(5)
b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.				4	
c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	(5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Were along established. The instructor was always prepared.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

Dist	gree		Α	gree
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3	4	(<u>s</u>)
b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3	4	(5)
c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3	4	(5)
d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3	4	(5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

where different viewpoints were brought up and we were only to look at aspects of each viewpoint.

_		Disagree	Agree
	a. Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the contents and objectives.b. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and	1 2 3	4 (5)
	b. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and coursework.c. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2 3 1 2 3	4 5 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

All Coursework was consistent with the course content and students were aware in advance of tests, quittes, papers, etc.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 		3 4 5 3

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

My instructor was very good at motivating strains to learn the conservate and pecons interested in it.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cou	rse# <u>P6 102 A</u> Semester <u>Fall</u> Year <u>2013</u> Instructor's Name <u>Shar</u>	<u> </u>				
Majo	or <u>Undeclared</u> Minor (if applicable)					
Statu	is:	tudent				
1.	Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagr				A oma o
		Disagn	ee			Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4	(3)
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4	(5)
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2 2	3	4	(5)
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful					\mathcal{O}
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4	(5)
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2 2	3	4	(3)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Leaures were extremely clear, lots of opportunity for class discussion and willingness to elaborate on anything in order to help anyone.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

a Overall the course was vallending	_				
a. Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 (5)	,
b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 (5))
c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)	,

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The syllabus outlined the course clearly and with barely any surprises.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Disagree		Agree	
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	I 2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3	4 (5)	
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3	4 (5)	
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Closs discussions in small groups and large group, so people a little more timid were still able to share viewpoints. Always asked if there were any more questions.

					Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	I	2	3	4 (5) 4 (5)	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (3)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Everything thoroughly graded. Assigned readings were added as well as ownitted in order to keep homework worth our time.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	•	Ex	cellent	
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .			4	~	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Great job at being approachable, taking time to get to know students, and being genuine in wanting us to succeed.

No improvements.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

A few times during the semester I noticed assigned articles that seemed to repeat very similar ideas to one another when assigned in the same night.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\Pi\$ and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	PG 102A	Semester +H	Year <u></u>	lnstructor	's Name Don Share	
Major			Minor (if:	applicable)		
Status:	First year		☐ Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disagr	ee		Agree	
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 5	1
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 (5)	İ
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 ③	
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful					
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 (5) (4) 5	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Everything that we have had assigned or gone over has been challenging material but Don has covered it theorophy and clearity. Some class the prosented material is conclined not a hundred percent clar. Because this is an introductory class has lead to go through Concepts very quickly.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

,		Disagree			Agree			
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4	(3)		
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4	Ó		
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	Ó		

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

He is well argenized and prepared for each class. He also keeps us up to date on expectations and changing of an assignment.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree			Agree		
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	(4)	5	
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4	Ø	
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4	(3)	
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4	3	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

			Disagree			Agre		
	a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 🔊)	
		The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2 2	3	4 5 4 5	}	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	 Excellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 	**	4 (3) 4 (3)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

Improve

I think he can improve his overall content on his powerpoints, they are west bryone and to most give information on each clide for students who missed closs.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

The course is very intersting and exciting. I wish we could spend priore time on each cost but as eve are completed for time I understand.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cou	ırse#	PG 102a	Semester	s+	Year	2013 Instructor'	s Name Don	Share				
Maj	or_	Music			_Minor (if	applicable)						
Status:		¥ First year	□ Sophomo	re	☐ Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate	Student				
1.	Inst	ructor's Promo	otion of Stude	ents' L	earning			Disagr	ee			Agree
a.	The	instructor was	intellectually	challe	nging.			1	2	3	4	(5)
b.	The	instructor was	skilled in help	oing st	udents mast	er relevant concept g seriously and to the f-reliance and self-	ts and skills.	1	2	3	4	(3) (3) (3) (3)
c.	The	instructor enco	ouraged studer	its to t	ake learning	g seriously and to th	nink critically.	1	2	3	4	3
d.	The	instructor enco	ouraged studer	nts' inte	ellectual sel	f-reliance and self-	motivation.	1	2	3	4	<u>(3)</u>
e.						pers, readings) wer						_
		learning tools.						1	2 2	3	4	(5)
f.	The	instructor pres	ented material	in a c	lear manner	.		1	2	3	4	<u>(3)</u>
Plea	Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.											

A challenging, but intellectually stimulating course. It is thanks to Don's excellent teaching that I was able to stay motivated to keep up with the interesting

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		Agree	
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 ③	
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 (3)	
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The course was completely organized and true to its syllabus calender. I never felt confused about expectations and always catered class knowing the goals/work for the day.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

	Disagree	Agree
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3 4 (5)
b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3 4 (5)
c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3 4 🕏
d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3 4 🖏

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings,

The	class'	structure	allowed	many	students	+=	25/
							to promote
							to answer any
question	, Hat	any st	udent wou	ld bring	vp.		Updated January 2012

			Disagree			
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b. c.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2 2	3	4 5 4 5	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Although the workload seemed large at first, the homework readings were perfect preparation for the material covered in class. The duily quizzes were also useful in making sure one truly knows the material.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor			E:	cellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> .	1	2	3	4	5
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	1	2	3	4	5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

A great instructor who truly cares about student learning. The class has been one of the most interesting I've ever taken thanks to Don! He shways shows up prepared and with energy that inspires the class to perform at its best daily!

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

An amazingly effective course! Even though I took it only to fufill a core requirement, I have never felt like I've learned this much about history politics in one semester!

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	PGWZA	Semester	t"	Year 2	ot3 Instructor	r's Name <u>∪</u> o∽	share		_	
Major _	Undeclared			Minor (if	applicable)					
Status:	√First year	First year 🛘 🗆 Sophomor		☐ Junior	□ Senior	☐ Graduate S	tudent			
1. Inst	ructor's Promo	tion of Stude	ents']	Learning			Disagree			l arran
b. The	e instructor enco	killed in help raged studer	ping s nts to	tudents maste take learning	er relevant concept seriously and to	think critically.	1 : 1 : 1 :	2 2 2	3 (4) 3 4 3 4	Agree 5 3
d. The	e instructor enco	uraged studei	nts' in	tellectual self	-reliance and self	f-motivation.	1 1	2	3 4	<i>(5</i> :

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Independien presented clearly an accessible through the website and solliber

Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

The instructor presented material in a clear manner.

	Disagre	, C		Agree	
a. Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 6)	
b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Stuck to she sullabors

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagre	ee		Agree	
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.				4) 5	***************************************
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4 5	
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4 5	
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

plovat llass discussions

learning tools.

_			Disag	ree		Agree	
İ	a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's	1	2	2	4 5	
1		contents and objectives.	i	,2	3	4 (3	
1	b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other				121	
1		coursework.	1	2	3	4 5	
	c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Dully enizes on full and reliable

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	•	 Excellent	
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .			4 5	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

I like the daily quizes because it somes you to take good notes on the reading which are very helpful later on the accorbility of the material presented in class through his metricle is also beneficial

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Very intensiting Course.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course	# PEG 102A	Semester Fall	Year <u>20</u>	13 Instructor's N	lame Don Share
Major _	Biology		_ Minor (if app	olicable) <u>unc'e</u>	termined
Status:		☐ Sophomore	☐ Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disagree			Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 :	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 (3)
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 🗓
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1 :	2	3	4 (5)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	1 2	2	3	(4) 5
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The course and Don are very intellectually engaging and challenging.
The Materials he provides are helpful in understanding material though some (articles) feel a bit excessive and redundant. Overall, I enjoy the class very much.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		Agree	
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4'(3)	İ
¢.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (3)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The course is very well planned and thought out and Don seamlessly goes through includes , even if there is slight variation. He was very clear about student responsibilities the first day

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	Agree	
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3 4) 5	
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3 4 (5)	
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3 4 (5)	
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3 4 (5)	
L			Series and series	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I feel very comfortable talking to Don if I don't understand something. He has define industriand will make appointments. He ages out of his way to relo you. He is also very respectful engaging.

Updated January 2012

a. Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	
1 4011041110 00104 00 14011 1401	3 (4) 5
b. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other	3 4 5 3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The reading guizes are fine, I don't mind them at all. The test though, was difficult in the fact that I didn't know how to prepare for it and some things were unexpected, However, when we got the tests back the comments were helpful.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	•	 Excellent	
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .			4 (3) 4 (5)	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

I think Don is an outstanding professor. For having class at Barn. I am actually engaged and excited for class every day. He is clear with the presentation of the material. He welcomes all and any questions, over all he seems to really know the subject inside and out. One thing that should be improved would maybe guiding us through lest preparation more and putting his notes that he discusses in the modale powerpoints after class to go back

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I love this course, Honestly, everything was five the way it is the readings from the books were very thurough and so are class discussions. The only thing I would adjust are some of the mode articles. Some don't seem that relavant or are redundant to other readings or orticles. Or at least discuss them more to understand some of the connectivity to the course material, other wise, I updated January 2012 very much, enjoy this class.

PUGET SOUND Instructor and Course Evaluation Form To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor. Course# 102 A Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name Den Sheepe Minor (if applicable) ☐ First year ☐ Sophomore ☐ Senior ☐ Graduate Student Status: 1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning Disagree The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Dur is always deed, cenesse + helpful. Ite answers all questions in a detailed member & makes himself available at all times if you need mere help.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

	Disagree		Agree
Overall, the course was well organized.	1 2	. 3	4 (5)
The instructor was well prepared for each class session.			4 5
The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities	s. 1 2	. 3	4

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

	<u> </u>	ısagr	ee		Agree
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' und	erstanding of the material.	1	2	3	4 (5)
b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpo	ints.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c. The instructor was available during office hours an	1/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4 (5)
d. The instructor led students to engage the course ma	terial.	1	2	3	4 (5)
<u></u>					- Control of the Cont

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that he

Du enceurages then givestions by always telling us new great our grestions are and then answering them thereughly.

,			ree		Agree		
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)		
b. c.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2 2	3	4 5 4 5		

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor		Excellent	
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u>. b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 			4 <u>\$</u> 4 <u>\$</u>	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

Den was great at getting me excited for this class
Den was great at getting me excited fix this class (at 8 km) and enumeraging my constant participate and engagement. It offered an objective bearing
and engagement. It Offered an opper the
and origination. Construment where sindents could disagree of depart w/ one another of Dur, himself.
My cuty complaint is their at times, it seems we reached turingh dense material.
b. Please provide any reedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in
(think Dun did a great jub at teaching his students all the
the curse and giving his students all the
tous they needed to be shuessful.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \Box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	PG 102A	Semester 1 st	Year <u>2013</u>	Instructor's N	Jame <u>Don Share</u>
Major\	PE/Spanish	k	Minor (if app	olicable) <u>Politics</u>	and Gov't
Status:	□ First year	∑ Sophomore	☐ Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

····			ee			Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4	(3)
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4	ⓒ
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4	3
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4	5
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful					
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4	S)
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4	(ک
L						

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Lectures were interesting and Don always took the time to answer any questions students had.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

,		Disagree			Agree		
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 (3)	
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 🤆	<u>3</u>)	
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	رچ	
							- 1

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

the course was very structured and followed the syllabus.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

Disagree	Agree
1 2	3 4 3
1 2	3 4 🕏
1 2	3 4 👰
1 2	3 4 3

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

	Disag	ree		Agree	
Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 ③	
coursework.					
	contents and objectives. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	contents and objectives. 1 2 The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. 1 2	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. 1 2 3	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	r	 Ex	cellent	
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 			4 (

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Don is very knowledgable, friendly, and approachable. He gives clear instructions and is always available during office hours. He is clearly passionate about what he teaches and does a good job explaining difficult concepts. He provides reasonable preparation for tests/quittes.

- b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.
 - I really liked that we got to spend part of a class learning about Cuba.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information

provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.
Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.
Course# 10.2 A Semester Year 2013 Instructor's Name Don Share
Major <u>Undeclaved</u> Minor (if applicable)
Status: First year Sophomore Junior Graduate Student
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning Disagree Agree
a. The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. e. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.
Don really covers topics well and wants to
see his students succeed. He's very nelptul
Don really covers topics well and wants to see his students succeed. He's very helpful by using the readings and notes in the test review, and by communicating well through en
2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations and Office NOUTS. Disagree Agree
 a. Overall, the course was well organized. b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. d. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. d. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.
there is a 5 min. open note guiz every class time that starts off the class well. Don always
has great rowerpoints ready for nots, as well.
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students Disagree Agree
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.
Don's very knowledgeable and excited about
Don's very knowledgeable and excited about what he's teaching - his enthusiasm inspires the students (at least me) updated January 2012
INSPIRES THE STUDENTS (AT LEAST ML) Updated January 2012
to want to know more!

		Disag	ree		Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other				
	coursework.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4(3)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The guizes were always consistent with our assigned readings. The test reviews were good and used our class notes /discussion to help. The feedback from Don on the test (tsp. the essay was altailed and very helpful.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	•	Excellent	
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .			4 (5) 4 (5)	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

I think Don's positive attitude and love of the material he teaches is so great. Before this class, I had never even thought about this subject mather- now I can say I know so much more, and I also want to heep learning about these topics. Don's ethusiasm is inspiring!

I think, if anything, there could be a couple more days spent on test review, instead of b. Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in

preparing to teach this course again.

I think that if there was more practice Writing essays regarding these topics, it would help the class to develop their arguments on issues. Also, it would help to improve the essay on the test.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \Box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course# PC102A Semester Fall Year 2013 Instructor's Name Don Shane

Majo	or Rengion	or PaG	Minor (if	applicable)	10000 P 41	<u>G</u> <u>ce</u>	Per	(Kg)	100
Stati	ıs: 🛘 First year	Sophomore	□ Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate S	Student			
1.	Instructor's Prom	otion of Students'	Learning			TS* .			
······································	······································					Disagr	ee		Agree
a.	The instructor was	intellectually chal	lenging.			1	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor was	skilled in helping	students maste	r relevant concep	ts and skills.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor enco	ouraged students to	take learning	seriously and to t	hink critically.	1	2	3	4 (5)
d.	The instructor ence	ouraged students' in	ntellectual self	reliance and self	-motivation.	1	2	3	4 (5)
e.	Class assignments	(e.g., homework, 1	ab reports, pap	ers, readings) we	re useful				
	learning tools.					1	2	3	4 5)
f.	The instructor pres	sented material in a	clear manner.			1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

DON made all the topics we studied very clear and the coursework enough me daily - making me thank entically and helping me to better understand the material. Sometimes I feet like it was a bit fast parecit we assid more on before I feet totally comfortable with the new 2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

Disagree Agree

		Disagree	Agree	
	a. Overall, the course was well organized.	1 2 3	4 (5)	
-	b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 2 3	4 (5)	
	c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.		4 3	
ı				

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Missing accuracy veni organized + prepared.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

	Disagree		Agree
The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the materia	al. 1 2	3	4 (3)
The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3	4 (5)
The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3	4 (5)
The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3	4 (35)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

	•	• •				•	-		
	Stille	nt, incl	ding	merser	if, all	NCU	u en	CNC s CNO OA	
	dunno) Class. D	on cie	my ex	n Ces Co	2007 (g ven	ions +	
Ch	eated a	a gloca	eninon	ment t	for stu	leno	to	Feel	
Ce	enfoa (stal are	mer air	nathing	y about	A th		-	
لاسح ا	Canarros	11 0W 16100	n har	En any	(30.00	A10.	Ο,	paaroa barraar j	2012
\ \ \	No lovo	ser avoil				1-01:	C 1 1 .	Alfira+	helphi t
IN	入义 YIII II	sell avoul	upa c	substitue (or class	- 17	UOU	and Pro	talk to

		Disag	ree		Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 5)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4 5
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 3

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Midterm feedback was very helpful + always Corrected Missed questions on daily quizzes > gave eventhing back promptly. Sometimes H seemed likethe que questions were about specific, smaller actains to the reasons rather than the big, impurant concepts and lesions.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructo</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 	r. 1 2 1 2	3 4 5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Very Well Organized and available for students. More hotes on power points would have been helpful for reviewing in case we missed a point made in Class. Overall, great professor! Helping me learn a lot

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

· more detail in power points (1)

- · a little more continuous review of the topics we already lamed about it possible more opportunities to come back to them throughout semister
- Sometimes reacting load was very heary and I felt like a few or the reactings were a little repetitive and could have been left out made been time. Consuming constant work load which I few wash always helesion and could have been eightened occasionally.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cour	se# <u>102.A</u>	Semester 15T	Year <u>2</u> .	Instructor	's Name Dow Sy	APK_			
Majo	r N/A		Minor (if	applicable) NIA					
Statu	s: KFirst year	☐ Sophomore	□ Junior	☐ Senior	□ Graduate S	Student			
1. 1	nstructor's Prom	otion of Students'	Learning			T)'			•
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Disagr	ee		Agree
a.	The instructor was	intellectually chall	enging.			1	2	3	4) 5
b.	The instructor was			4		1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor ence	ouraged students to	take learning	seriously and to	think critically.	1	2	3	.4 😥
d.	The instructor ence	ouraged students' ir	itellectual self	reliance and self	f-motivation.	1	2	3	4 (5)
e.	Class assignments	(e.g., homework, la	ab reports, par	oers, readings) wo	ere useful				
	learning tools.					1	2	3	4 (5)
f.	The instructor pres	ented material in a	clear manner.			1	2	3	4 (3)
Dloos	e evaluin the choic	as year abaalaad aba	via viith aame	nomes that hale at					

explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Perdang were useful in understanding the retorn & doe premated self-withvest ton Indianae. He retorn to be because when the presented in very clear woman.

Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		Agree	
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 (3)	
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 ③	
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
1						

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don rever seemed unprepared for oless and the somes was very well agranteed. Once I one of the metall organized cowers live taken this servator.

Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagr	ee		Ag	ree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	(4)	5)
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4 (5>
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4 (3)
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	(4)	5
L						

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Du held regular affrece have end procured questions in father classes

		Disag	ree		Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.				4 3
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 🔇

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Do doe an extremely good job of propering Adovents for books and julianes. The properties the best Trade was dollarging, but something that no materials we didn't cover is did a good job covering the cover meteral.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	 Excelle	ent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u>. b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 		3 4 ③ 3 ④ 5	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Do does a rully good job with having their expectations and engenteration. Because of this the combination of lectures and readings I never rully left lost in the course, but get the impression that IF I ship need help from would be readily awaitable. The but have get understanding he weekered the combination of reading and then cometimes re-covering the moderness in from their in because and amathors be a both both no.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I think it was constitute hand to failthe about the business the door so early.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\Pi\$ and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	PG 102 A	Semester 15th	Year <u>Z</u>	ol 3 Instructor	's Name Don	Share
Major	n ndecide	4	Minor (if	applicable)	A	
Status:	First year	☐ Sophomore	☐ Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Stud	ient

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disagr	ee			Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4	③
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4	Ó
¢.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4	Ø
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4	0
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful					
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 2	5
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4	\odot
	F	•	-		•	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Mr. Share has consistently always had interesting reading assignments, important class discussion topics, and extremely helpful advice and information to give to us in class. Honorook is laid out in a usually pretty obvious manner, the notes Just take a lot of time complete.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		A	gree
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4	©
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4	3
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	Ø

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

It is very apparent that Don is always prepared for class, he has an itinerary every day, and we Stick to that. He also invites people to Join class conversations who don't always participate.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

	Dr.	Disagree			Agree		
a. The in	structor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	(4)	5	
b. The in	structor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4	3	
c. The in	structor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4	<u> </u>	
d. The in	structor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4	ව	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don obviously wants students to keep up on the conserve so Students can think critically about the countries we study, especially in a more modern-day context.

	Disag	ree			Agree
 Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives. 	1	2	3	4	5
b. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.c. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.				4 �)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The notes assigned after each day of class for the next cross session involve a lot of intense reading, necessitating a lot of hours of studying important, relevant material. Daily quizzes were a good way generally to gauge how much/thoroughly I read the mater; al, although trese short in-class excercises weren't almost a full example of my krowledge.

Poor

Excellent

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u>. b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 1 2 2 	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Mr. Share has obviously been teaching P&G courses for many years, he is extremely knowledgable about each part of course noterial respectant Present day topics in each country. Don also finds new readings that he finds engaging and relevant, newspaper articles he finds online and often adds to one curricular to replace older reedings he assigned. He also wrote the textbook in construction with other pt 6 teachers here at school.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I really enjoyed taking this introduction course as my first P&G Class. I have to take more government conses in the Future and marbe even make this course type my major minor. On one occasion, I ment in to Dons office bours and discussed my interests in history classes and the government course I took in high school, and he seemed herr entired about that.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor. Course# PG102A Semester 1 Year 2013 Instructor's Name Don Share								
Egonomics								
Major Economics Minor (if applicable)		The state of the s						
Status: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate St	udent							
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning								
	Disagree	Agree						
a. The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 2	3 4 5 7						
b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1 2 2	3 4 5 7						
c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1 2	3 4 5 7						
d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.		3 4 5 7						
 Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. 	1 2	3 4 5 7						
f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 🔲 2 🔲	3□ 4□ 5 ▼						
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	ratings.							
Great teacher, harsh grader but very helpful. Presented material very well. Best professor I'v	e had here.							
2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations	Disagree	Agree						
a. Overall, the course was well organized.	1 _ 2 _	3 4 5 7						
b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 2	3 4 5 7						
c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2 2	3 4 7 5						
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	ratings.							
We never got behind in anything, classes seemed very well prepared.								
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students	Disagree	Agree						
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3 🗸 4 🔲 5 🔲						
b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3 4 5 7						
c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3 4 5 7						
d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	3 4 7 5						

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

In class, great teacher, reliable office hours. I wish was a little more apathetic to individuals in class, work with them if they are struggling.

4. Instructor's Evaluation of Students' Learning			
	Disagree	Agree	
a. Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1 2 2	3 🔲 4 🔲	5 🗸
 The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. 	1 2 0	3 4 🗸	5
c. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 🗆 2 🗆	3 4 🗸	5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you	ratings.		
Tough, but fair grader. Test emphasized terms but amount so few on test.			
5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation	Poor	Excellent	
a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructed	or. 1 🔲 2 🔲	3 🔲 4 🗸	5 🔲
b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	1 🔲 2 🔲	3 🗌 4 🗹	5 🔲
Overall Course Evaluation a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think shoul	d be improved.		
Best			
I think Don's in class lectures are great. They're never boring, always very relevant and int	eresting.		
Could be Improved			
Boring extra credit opportunities. If you start w/ bad grade, very hard to overcome that, see important assignments.	ems like final and	l midterm only	really
b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for preparing to teach this course again.	the instructor to	know in	
The nightly reading assignments are often extremely lengthy. Other than the daily reading	quizzes, there are	en't many other	

The nightly reading assignments are often extremely lengthy. Other than the daily reading quizzes, there aren't many other assignments. If there were more assignments, I think students would read more to learn/apply rather than to do well on reading quizzes.