To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information
provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor
for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to
take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an hones appraisal.

structor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\Box\) and your responses will be type ourse#\(\begin{aligned} P6380\\ D\\ \end{aligned}\) Semester\(\frac{\mathcal{Fall}}{\text{Vear}}\) Year\(\begin{aligned} \frac{2015}{\text{Dol}}\) Instructor's Name\(\begin{aligned} \hat{Dol}\)	
ajor PG (Theory) Minor (if applicable) EPDM, E	
atus: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate	
Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	
-	Disagree Agree
The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 2 3 4 5
The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1 2 3 4 5
Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful	
learning tools. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2 3 4 6
I often felt like the readings, Particularly	trom Vanden + Provo e point of Minutia actually cover Mu ingagement w/ the just a series of st just a series of st twas in the reading there enabling to
case explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you often felt like the readings. Particularly were too long and were detailed to the class 'discussions' meanwhile didn't seem to new material or deeper understanding the or present much analysis. Rather it was a Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Film Overall, the course was well organized.	ngagement W/ the single of St just a series of St + was in the reading swere engaging to Disagree Agree
I often felt like the readings, Particularly were too long and were detailed to the class "discusions" meanwhile didn't seem to new material or deeper understanding the or present much analysis. Rather if was Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Film	ngagement w/ the just a series of st the reading were engaging to
Toften felt like the readings, Particularly were too long and were detailed to the class 'discusions' meanwhile didn't seem to new material or deeper understanding the or Present much analysis. Rather it was Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Film. Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	ngagement w/ the single of state of sta
often felt like the readings, Particularly were too long and were detailed to the class 'discusions' meanwhile didn't seem to new material or deeper understanding the or present much analysis. Rather it was Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Film. Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	ngagement w/ the single of state of sta
often felt like the readings, Particularly were too long and were detailed to the class 'discusions' meanwhile didn't seem to new material or deeper understanding the or present much analysis. Rather it was Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Film. Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	ngagement w/ the single of state of sta
often felt like the readings, Particularly were too long and were detailed to the class "discusions" meanwhile didn't seem to new material or deeper understanding the or present much analysis. Rather if was Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Film Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	ngagement w/ the single of state of sta
Toften felt like the readings, Particularly were too long and were detailed to the class 'discusions' meanwhile didn't seem to new material or deeper understanding the or Present much analysis. Rather it was Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Film. Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	ngagement w/ the single of state of sta
Toften felt like the readings, Particularly were too long and were detailed to the class 'discusions' meanwhile didn't seem to new material or deeper understanding the or Present much analysis. Rather it was Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Film. Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	ngagement w/ the single of state of sta
reften felt like the readings, Particularly were too long and were detailed to the class 'discusions' meanwhile didn't seem to new material or deeper understanding the or present much analysis, Rather it was Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Film Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. Pease explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you are expected to the companion of the property of the context of the cont	ngagement w/ the single of state of sta
reften felt like the readings, Particularly were too long and were detailed to the class "discusions" meanwhile didn't seem to new material or deeper understanding the or present much analysis, Rather it was Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Film Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. Passe explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you would have appreciated more time for engaging and western society and more room for engaging and instructor's Interaction With Students wing military dictators. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	ngagement w/ the first a series of state was in the reading to the point of the point of the point of the series of state and the point of the point
reften felt like the readings, Particularly were too long and were detailed to the class 'discusions' meanwhile didn't seem to new material or deeper understanding the or present much analysis, Rather it was Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Film Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. Passe explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you are explained to the companion of the property of the context of the con	ngagement w/ the first a series of state was in the reading to the possession of series of state was in the reading to the possession of t

I often felt the readings were lengthy and to an extent which made it difficult to engage as less close reading became necessary just to get work done shorter, targeted readings on Particular issues, phenomena, events, or perspectives could name provided more interesting insights while class lecture was there provided the factual context of promonic conditions. History, + political systems.

	-	Disag	ree	Agree
cor	tts, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's ntents and objectives.	1	2	3 4 5
cou	e instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other rsework. e instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2 2	3 4 5 3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor		Excellent	
After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .			4 (5) (4) 5	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Ireally enjoyed Evo's Bolivia and the readings on the Disapeared. More, supplement factual learning of names + numbers would be beneficial.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	FG 380	Semester Fai	Year _7	Lois Instructor	's Name <u>Shore</u>	
Major	PEG &	Spanish kung	Minor (if	f applicable)		
Status:	☐ First year		Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disagr	ee			Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4	3
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4	I I
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4	3
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful				_	
	learning tools.				4	
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I recally enjoyed the readings in this class, and testite the fact that it was obvious from the beginning of the year how much work we had to itearn, the class structure was so find & effective.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagree		Agree		
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4	6
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4	(5)
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I never true know how much to write for responses or what is important to know, but I think I found a sood gauge of how much to know tremember over time

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

	•	Disagr	ee		P	Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	4	⑤
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4	(3)
c. '	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4	5
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4	<u> </u>
1						

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Every class discussion is very useful, and helps duripy information, but the Lefth of this class is sometimes very difficult.

		Disagree			Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4	5
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.				P	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Like I said before, I have a hard time knowing what to study, so usuall I end up studying as much as passible & try to remember as much as I com.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor		Excellent	
a. b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .			4 ③ 4 5	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

Dan is a walking encretopedia and an incredibit knowledgeable and helpful professor. His personal research & travers, & experiences in Latin America sives us only an insight beyond most everyone's ability. The see Conrse Itself has so much information to so through, it's hard to synthesize everying we have learned. Overall I we really enough this class & Dan's teachers.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

It's sad that you've leaving the numbersity to retire, and I am very slad I've been able to take a few classis with Dan. I think the hectic north refi disorganization of the subject reflects in the class. I don't know what could be improved because overan, I have reasyly enjoyed the class

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \Box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	P6 360	Semester Fall	Year <u>20</u>	5 Instruct	or's Name[Ion Share
Major <u>Y</u>	61616		_ Minor (if app	plicable)	WA	
Status:	☐ First year	□ Sophomore	Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Gradı	nate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disagr	<u>ee</u>		Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4 ③
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 6
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 (5)
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 💍
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (5)
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Por loads the course, with inhelhectedly challeging (eadings, omes that engage students and sport are inherest that may have four former non-existent prior to the courser.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagree	Agree	
a.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 2 3		
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 2 3	4 🗇	
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2 3	4 3	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Do pune very for the scholle syllabus without significant proof worming. These is no confusion in regard to the day to day working of the days.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	,
	3 4 (\$\sigma\$) 3 4 (\$\sigma\$) 3 4 (\$\sigma\$) 3 4 (\$\sigma\$)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Por encourages a variety of viewpoints, and delhours

my 10 that about the coura numberial in animor

that englassing the demonstry of opinions possible in regist

to any given topic. Doing 7+b coologis protection in the dept.

to idealogical diversity more than any other professor in the dept.

		Disagree	Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's		-
	contents and objectives.	1 2	3 4 (3)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other		_
	coursework.	1 2	3 4 (5)
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2	3 4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

so los as shouts how down the readings, the also private the also provides construction todooct on every assignment we do in class.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor			Excellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 		_	_	4 (3) 4 (5)

Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

Von does an exellent job at novigating The fire his between chollinging his stronts and intimidating Thunkakes he stays on the girl of the holver. Don tolows us to think critically by
moking the coulder organisms, rather than the potting
sholents "on the spot. Gerdents on challeged, but b. Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in

preparing to teach this course again.

I can not com up with any criticism about the cosar itself. However, I would have liked the how down cam studies on Urraying. But That's man because of Personal interest.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	10 PG 380	Semester fall 2	Wear <u>2</u>	15 Instruct	or's Name <u>Don!</u>	
Major P+Gr and Spanish Minor (if applicable) LAS						
Status:	☐ First year	☐ Sophomore	🞝 Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disagr	ee		Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4 🜀
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 🔇
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 ③
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 (5)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful				
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (5) 4 (5)
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 (3)
1					

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

very challenging, but doable if you think about the readings enough

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

			Disagr	ee		Agree	
- 1	b.	Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (S) 4 (S) 4 (S)	
- 1		*					

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree		Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3	4 ③
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3	4 @
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3	4 (5)
1				

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don is the best, always respectful, friendly and kind:

		Disagree	Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1 2	3 4 (5)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other		
	coursework.	1 2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2	3 4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

even though they are time consuming, I get a lot out of the daily writing assignments. It only get to be way too much when I had my presentation he same day as a reading assignments due for his classes.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor		Excellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructo</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 		_	4 ⑤ 4 ⑤

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

experience to have as a student. Its just a fun time, Don is always the friendliest human being on the planet. His juices are the best.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I would have loved to have more time to discuss the readings i learned a ton in the class I only wish we got to learn more about every Latri American country

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cours	e# <u>PG 380</u>	Semester Fall	Year _2	2015 Instructor	's Name Don	Share	2_			
Majo	Music		Minor (if	applicable)						
Status	s:		Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate S	Student				
1. I	nstructor's Promo	otion of Students'	Learning							
						Disagr	ee		Agree	_
a. ′	The instructor was	intellectually chal	lenging.			1	2	3	4 🔇	
b. '	The instructor was	skilled in helping	students mast	er relevant concep	ots and skills.	1	2	3	4 🕏	
c.	The instructor enco	ouraged students to	take learning	g seriously and to	think critically.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
d.	The instructor enco	uraged students' i	ntellectual sel	f-reliance and self	f-motivation.	1	2 2	3	4 (3)	
e. (Class assignments ((e.g., homework, J	lab reports, pa	pers, readings) we	ere useful					
	learning tools.					1	2	3	4 (5)	
f.	The instructor present	ented material in a	clear manner	•		1	2	3	4 (3)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don is one of the most dedicated, helpful professors I have ever had at Ups. He was is incredibly knowled cable and mostivationally and inspires me to research latin American politics that even't included in the course. The reading briefs were very useful learning tools. I believe they really helped me to solidify concepts.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

_		Disagree	Agree
	a. Overall, the course was well organized.	1 2	3 4 (5)
	b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.		3 4 (3)
	c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2	3 4 🐧

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The class structure is very straightforward, and I always knew what was expected for next time. I really appreciate Don's detailed syllabus, and how we stuck with the timeline. Don always has wonderful topis for discussion during

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students every class period.

		Disagree			Agree	
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	?	3	4 (3)	
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	2	3	4 (3)	
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	2	3	4 (3)	
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	2	3	4 (3)	
l						

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I appreciate how Don would always open up the discussion for only one to participate, and respected all viewpoints. I believe he cares deeply about students as well as the moderial, and updated January 2012 is very easer to help us develop our own indestandings.

	Disagree	Agree
a. Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course contents and objectives.	e's 1 2 3	4 (5)
b. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.c. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2 3 1 2 3	4 (5) 4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don's comments on our reading briefs were insightful, and our discussions in class really helped to solidify events, "concepts—and integral for the tests.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instruct</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 		3 4 (3) 3 4 (3)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

I appreciated how Don world always include present day events into lectures. He was really wonderful in showing how the textbook's ideas were translated into real life events. Don is very relaxed in class, and he is able to spark interest in people that leads to an interesting intellectual discussion. I'm disappointed that I won't be able to take another class with Don, but I'm glad that I had this apportunity.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I would have enjoyed covering Costa Rica, however, I understand why it is generally excluded from the course. It

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor. Semester Fall Year 2015 Instructor's Name <u>Shave</u> Course# 380Politics Minor (if applicable) Down SM ☐ First year **Junior** ☐ Senior ☐ Sophomore ☐ Graduate Student Status: **Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning** Disagree The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. 2 c. d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful e. 2 learning tools. f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. 3 Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. The reading briefs were very helpful for me in isolating the most important materials. I felt a bit underprepared for tests though / unsure about what 2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Disagree Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. I always know what's due / when it's due the material was presented clearly **Instructor's Interaction With Students** Disagree The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. 2 1 a. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. 2 3 b. 1 c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. 2 The instructor led students to engage the course material.

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

We were given room to ask grestions and participate in discussions

		Disagi	ree	Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's			
1	contents and objectives.	1	2	3 (4) 5
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other			
ŀ	coursework.	1	2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Evaluations have been extremely theory ofmuch appreciated I therewer there were no study guides union made studying a little difficult

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 		3 4 5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Super knowledgable of the Subject and willing to engage shedents when we want to ask greshons / discuss The subject matter was super interesting and I like how we foursed on current events in addition to historical centext

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Perhaps more preparation for tests Overall I like the layout 10% reading briefs due each class.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cou	rse# <u>380</u> Semester / Year <u>2015</u> Instructor's Name <u>Sha</u>	nl			
Maj	or Potter 3 Gas. Minor (if applicable)				
Stat	us: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate State Senior Graduate State Senior Graduate State Senior Senior Graduate State Graduate Graduate State Graduate Gra	tudent			
1.	Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagr	ee		Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	Q 5
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 5
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2		4 6
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 (3)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful				
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 5
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	A 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagre	<i>:</i>		Agree	
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.				4 😏	
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 3	
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 🕭	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagr	1 2 1 2		Agree		
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	4	5	
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4	5	
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4	5	
	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4	5	
						V	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

		Disag	ree			Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4	6)	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other				0	O	
	coursework.	1	2	3	a	5	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3		5	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent
a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u>.b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>.		3 4 8 5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

Professor Share does a great job of quing

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

opinion of the twitter of Jatin american courtness it felt are if most of our material was written by americans. So I fel that I did not understand the Jatin american opinion as much.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cou	rse# <u>PG380</u> Semester <u>Fall</u> Year <u>2015</u> Instructor's Name <u>P</u> vo	- Don	Sh	ar	را
Maj	or Pt (1 Comparative) Minor (if applicable) Asian Stud	rel p)es	ign	noiten
Stat	us: 🗆 First year 🗆 Sophomore 🗆 Junior 🗡 Senior 🗀 Graduate	Student		•	
1.	Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning				
		Disagr	ee		Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 🐼
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 (3)
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 (5)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful				
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (5)
£	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.		_	•	, >= <

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Classes are enacigna, encorporating reading materials t new information. Reading brief questions encouraged oritical trunking

Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		Agree
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 5
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (3)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Organization of the course was clearand easy to follow

Instructor's Interaction With Students

a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5		Disagree	Agree
	b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3	3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

ton is very approachable and helpful during office hours, encourages engagement with velevant-to-course, information and activities outside of class.

		Disag	ree		Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2.	3	4 (3)	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other					
	coursework.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Fair grading, clear expectations for exams and papers.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instru</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> 		3 4 S 3 4 S

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

Don is a great teacher! He is clear, concise, and very passionate about his subjects. He is understanding of individual students needs and experiences and is a very fair grader, with an emphasis on challenging, but not scaring, his students.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

The course was great! I appreciated vanden + Prevost but liked the first hand accounts and excellent journalism as a way of connecting with course content.

Current Events presentations are also a great way to get in depth with one area.

Thank you!

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor. Semester Fall Year 2015 Instructor's Name Don Share Major IPE Minor (if applicable) N Senior ☐ Graduate Student Status: ☐ First year ☐ Sophomore □ Junior Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning Disagree The instructor was intellectually challenging. 3 The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. b. 3 The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. c. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. d. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. 3 2 3 The instructor presented material in a clear manner. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Disagree Agree 2 3 Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. 2 3 The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. 1 Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. **Instructor's Interaction With Students** Disagree The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. 2 3 The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. b. 2 The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. 3 The instructor led students to engage the course material. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

		Disag	ree		Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other	•	_	,	. 9	
	coursework.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Don is very thorough and fair in his grading and explains his expectations ahead of time.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent	
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 		4 5 4 5	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

No areas need improvement, Don is a very thoughtful knowledgable, and patient professor. I foll ful lucky that I was able to take a course from him.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

This course can be very challenging at times, but it very rewarding. The material is very comprehensive, and the classtime uses a great variety of teaching techniques.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cou		n Sha	re		
Majo	or Politics and Gov. Minor (if applicable)				
Statu	s: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate S	Student			
1.	Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning				
		Disagre	ee		Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 (3)
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 (55)
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 (3)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful				
	learning tools.	1	2	3 ((4) 5
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The instructor is very the smart and knows a lot about Latin America, which makes the course even more interesting.

Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		Agree
a b c	 Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. 	1	2	3 3 3	
1	1				

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Everything was well-laid out and expectations were always clear.

Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagre	•		Agree	
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	4 5	
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4 (3)	
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4 (3)	
l	P.				_	- 1

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The instructor evocuraged us to express our views.

		Disag	ree		Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 5	
b. c.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2 2	3	4 (5) 4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The instructor seemed to spend a good amount of time reading every reading response and giving good feedback.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 		3 4 5 3 4 5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

Our instructor is very good. You can tell he's been here a while because he teaches his courses w/ contidence and case. Only complaint the is I wish he werent leaving this semester.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I'm glad I took this course, it was very interesting. Only suggestion would be to have the review for the miltern test before 2 days prior to the exam.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Cou	ırse#	_ Semester	Year	Ir	structor's Name	e					
Maj	or			Minor (if a	pplicable)						
Stat	s: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate Student										
1.	Instructor's Promo	tion of Students'	Learning			D:			۸ -		
						Disag	ree		Ag	ree	
a.	The instructor was	intellectually chall	enging.			1	2	3	4	5	
b.	The instructor was	skilled in helping	students master	r relevant concept	s and skills.	1	2	3	4	5	
c.	The instructor enco	uraged students to	take learning	seriously and to th	nink critically.	1	2	3	4	5	
d.	The instructor enco	uraged students' ir	itellectual self-	reliance and self-	motivation.	1	2	3	4	5	
e.	Class assignments (learning tools.	(e.g., homework, la	ab reports, pap	ers, readings) wer	e useful	1	2	3	4	5	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

The instructor presented material in a clear manner.

		Disagr	ee		Ag	ree
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4	5
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4	5
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree		Agree		
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	4	5
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4	5
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4	5
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

2

3

4

5

		Disagree		Agree			
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4	5	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4	5	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4	5	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor		Exc		
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your $\underline{\text{instructor}}$.	1	2	3	4	5
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course.</u>	1	2	3	4	5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.